Serial No. 10/143,759
Response filed July 30, 2004
Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Page 13 of 18

Docket No. 3655-0131P
Group Art Unit 2643

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the very thorough consideration given the present application.

Claims 1-28 are now pending in this application. Claims 1, 10, 15, 19, 21 and 24 are independent. Claims 1, 20 and 24 have been amended.

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Drawing Objections

The Examiner has objected to the drawings because Figures 4 and 5 contain solid black shading, which is not permitted. The Examiner required proposed drawing corrections or corrected drawings.

Applicant reviewed the drawing figures and also noted that Figure 1 should be labeled "Background Art," in order to be consistent with the specification at page 2, lines 15-16. Attached hereto are proposed drawing corrections, which indicate in red ink that the label "Background Art" has been added to Figure 1, and which indicate that an outline drawing of the telephone in Figures 4 and 5 will be provided, without any solid black shading. The Examiner is requested to approve the proposed drawing corrections.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has allowed claims 10-23. Further, the Examiner has indicated that claims 4 and 28 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 103

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Sugahara. Claims 24-25 and 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kim. Claims 8-9 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sugahara in view of Demlow et al. Claim 26 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kim in view of Lim. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Sugahara shows a multi-line push button telephone. The Sugahara invention is addressing the issue of call waiting ID. In other words, the telephone is equipped to allow the user to view the name and telephone number of several callers which are on hold. By this arrangement, the user can identify the caller on several different telephone lines, and answer a call having a top priority. See column 2, line 60 through column 3, line 4.

Sugahara notes that a caller's name can be displayed using

Serial No. 10/143,759
Response filed July 30, 2004
Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Page 15 of 18

Docket No. 3655-0131P
Group Art Unit 2643

the alphabet, numbers, or even Japanese or Chinese characters. See column 4, lines 25-40. In this regard, Sugahara is similar to the background art discussed in paragraph 05 of Applicant's specification. Sugahara only discussed sending caller identification information which includes one form of the caller's name. That one form could be in Latin, Greek, or Cyrillic characters, or that one form could be in other characters (e.g., Chinese or Japanese characters). However, Sugahara does not show or suggest that the name identification information data stream associated with a telephone call would include both a first data packet and a second data packet, wherein the first data packet includes first data representing a calling or called party's name in Latin, Greek, or Cyrillic characters, and wherein the second data packet would include second data representing the calling or called party's name in native language characters, which are different than the characters used to represent the calling or called party's name in the first data packet. In other words, Sugahara makes no showing or suggestion that the name identification data stream would include two forms of the calling or called party's name.

The Examiner indicated that dependent claim 4 would be allowable. Dependent claim 4 specified that the second data packet sequentially followed the first data packet in the name identification information data stream. Applicant thanks the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

However, the Applicant respectfully asserts that it would also be allowable to simply specify that the second data packet and the first data packet are contained within the name identification information data stream associated with a telephone call. It would not matter if the first data packet preceded or followed the second data packet, and it would not matter if the data packets followed sequentially. Rather, it is the mere presence of two separate data packets, as recited in independent claim 1, within one name identification information data stream which is one of the novel and characterizing features of the present invention.

The remaining references of record fail to cure the shortcomings of the Sugahara reference, as discussed above. Claims 2-3 and 5-9 depend directly or indirectly on claim 1 and should be considered allowable for at least the same reasons as argued above. Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5-9 are respectfully requested.

Independent claim 24 has been amended to recite a combination of structural features, including "an input device connected to said processor for receiving an input indicating a native language or languages that a user of the caller ID device desires to have displayed as caller ID information on said caller ID display in the event that native language data is present in incoming caller ID information." It is respectfully asserted that Kim fails to show or suggest such an arrangement.

Serial No. 10/143,759
Response filed July 30, 2004
Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Page 17 of 18

Docket No. 3655-0131P
Group Art Unit 2643

Kim shows that a caller ID device can have several languages for operating a menu or display of the caller ID device. In other words, a German person may desire to have German commands displayed on the display device, whereas a French person would desire to have French commands displayed on the display device. Such an arrangement is common in many electronic devices, such as computers, cell phones, televisions, etc.

However, Kim fails to show or suggest that the caller ID device has an input which can be set by a user to display native language data which is present in incoming caller ID information. In the Kim device, caller ID information is simply received by the device, and the caller's name is displayed on the display. There is no provision in the Kim device for a user to select that a native language name, present in the caller ID information, should be displayed on the display device.

The remaining art of record fails to cure the deficiencies of Kim, as noted above. Claims 25-27 depend directly from claim 24, and should be considered allowable for the same reasons as argued above.

Accordingly, reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections are respectfully requested.

Conclusion

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.17 and 1.136(a), Applicant respectfully petition(s) for a one (1) month extension of time

Serial No. 10/143,759
Response filed July 30, 2004
Amendment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111
Page 18 of 18

Docket No. 3655-0131P
Group Art Unit 2643

for filing a reply in connection with the present application. A check in the amount of $110.00 is attached hereto.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1602 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By: _____
Scott L. Lowe, #41,458

SLL/jao
3655-0131P

P.O. Box 747
Falls Church, VA 22042-0747
(703) 205-8000

Attachment: